## EXON RESEARCH AND ENGINEERING COMPANY

P.O. BOX 51, LINDEN. N.J. 07036

PRODUCTS RESEARCH DIVISION J.F. BLACK Scientific Advisor

June 6, 1978

The Greenhouse Effect

Ref. No: 78PR 461

Mr. F. G. Turpin, Vice President Exxon Research and Engineering Co. Petroleum Staff P. O. Box 101 Florham Park, NJ 07932

Dear Frank:

The review of the Greenhouse Effect which I presented to the Exxon Corporation Management Committee last July used only vugraphs, without a prepared text. Last month, I had the opportunity to present an updated version of this talk to PERCC. The attached text was dictated shortly afterward to satisfy requests for a written version of the talk from people who had not heard the presentation last July. Also attached is a summary.

Sincerely, F. BLACK

JFB/mbh Attachments: Summary Text Vugraphs

CC:	Messrs.	N.	Alpert	
		W.	М.	Cooper, Jr.
		Ε.	Ε.	David
		E.	J.	Gornowski
		R.	L.	Hirsch
		F.	Α.	L. Holloway
		Ρ.	J.	Lucchesi

L. E. Swabb, Jr.

## THE GREENHOUSE EFFECT

## J. F. Black, Products Research Division Exxon Research and Engineering Co.

## SUMMARY

The earth's atmosphere presently contains about 330 ppm of  $CO_2$ . This gas does not absorb an appreciable amount of the incoming solar energy but it can absorb and return part of the infrared radiation which the earth radiates toward space.  $CO_2$ , therefore, contributes to warming the lower atmosphere by what has been called the "Greenhouse Effect."

The CO<sub>2</sub> content of the atmosphere has been monitored since 1957 at two locations, the Mauna Loa Observatory, Hawaii and the South Pole. These and other shorter studies show that CO<sub>2</sub> is increasing. If the increase is attributed to the combustion of fossil fuels, it can be calculated that the CO<sub>2</sub> content of the atmosphere has already been raised by about 10 to 15% and that slightly more than half of the CO<sub>2</sub> released by fossil fuel combustion is remaining in the atmosphere. Assuming that the percentage of the CO<sub>2</sub> remaining in the atmosphere will stay at 53% as fossil fuel consumption increases, one recent study predicts that in 2075 A.D., CO<sub>2</sub> concentration will peak at a level about twice what could be considered normal. This prediction assumes that fossil fuel consumption will grow at a rate of 2% per year until 2025 A.D. after which it will follow a symetrical decrease. This growth curve is close to that predicted by Exxon's Corporate Planning Department.

Mathematical models for predicting the climatic effect of a  $CO_2$  increase have not progressed to the point at which all the feedback interactions which can be important to the outcome can be included. What is considered the best presently available climate model for treating the Greenhouse Effect predicts that a doubling of the  $CO_2$  concentration in the atmosphere would produce a mean temperature increase of about 2°C to 3°C over most of the earth. The model also predicts that the temperature increase near the poles may be two to three times this value.

The  $CO_2$  increase measured to date is not capable of producing an effect large enough to be distinguished from normal climate variations. As an example of normal variations, studies of meteorological and historical records in England indicate that the mean temperature has varied over a range of about  $\pm 0.7^{\circ}$ C in the past 1000 years. A study of past climates suggests that if the earth does become warmer, more rainfall should result. But an increase as large as 2°C would probably also affect the distribution of the rainfall. A possible result might be a shift of both the desert and the fertile areas of the globe toward higher latitudes. Some countries would benefit but others could have their agricultural output reduced or destroyed. The picture is too unclear to predict which countries might be affected favorably or unfavorably.